Congressman Dymally
House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

7627 Old Receiver Road
Frederick, Md. 21702

Dear Mr. Dymally,

You err in your 11/7/91 extension of remarks, I think because of misplaced trust, and in it rewrite the history of the JFK assassination.

Taking Mark Lane's word for anything is dangerous for those who do not want their reputations impeached.

This talented and persuasive man, who has spun from the left to the farout right and has for some time been associated with Willis Carto, who has become an overt anti-Semite (having begun life, as I've been informed, as Marcus Levin), has difficulty telling the truth even by accident.

Your extension also raises questions about the Kirkus Reviews. Without any checking at all, including of its own files, this review repeats Lane's self-serving lie, that his "Rush to Judgement" was a "the first book to attack the Warren Report." It was far from the first.

As Lane knows, having cribbed from my first book as well as the one that followed it in his book, mine was first (of seven) published in August the year prior to his.

Lane says in the book you praise that he is responsible for most of the FOIA lawsuits that brought so much of the withheld official JFK assassination records to light, having to use "trucks" to haul them home. If you want an impartial account of the magnitude of this lie I suggest that you phohe Jim Lesar (393-1921), the lawyer who filed about a dozen of them - for me. Some were precedental and one led to the 1974 amending of the investigatory files exemption.

Lane also lies in claiming to have exposed "FBI complicity" in the King assassination. There was none and there is virtually nothing about that assassination in that book, with what little there was ranging from cribbed to mostly false.

He does succeed in a difficult and often impossible exploit, being unfair to the CIA!

The "small political magazine" referred to in the review you put in the Record is as virulent a racist rag as the country suffers, Carto's. It is a weekly paper.

That Marita Lorenz was a "CIA agent" rests entirely on her utterly worthless word.

There is more but I hope this is enough to inform you. I ask nothing of you, I am now 78, in seriously impaired health and generally ignore Lane's career of commercializing mendacity, but the Congressional Record is a permanent, historical record so I hope you will not want this, uncorrected, to sully our history and your own reputation.

(Please excuse my typing. It can't be better.)

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg